

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,120	12/14/2001		ZiQiang Zhu		9018	
25859	7590	10/23/2003		EXAMINER		
WEI TE (IATIONAL, INC.	SANGHAVI, HEMANG			
1650 MEM				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				2874		

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AU
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{O}
	10/017,120	ZHU ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hemang Sanghavi	2874	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DI V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least of the second of the seco	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a life reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commit BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			ierits is
Disposition of Claims		·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= : :		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ilsapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
,	Examilier.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	inn minituunda 25 H C C	C 440(=) (d) == (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	anta haya haan rasaiyad		
Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority documents.		anlication No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the particular application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a I 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	ge
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Application/Control Number: 10/017,120

Art Unit: 2874

ŭ

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Taiwan on November 28, 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Taiwan application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth et al (US 6,081,647).

Roth discloses a connector assembly comprising (Fig. 5) a housing (12) defining an inserting hole; an insert body (26) mounted in the inserting hole of the housing; a door (30) being clamped between the housing and the insert body covering an inlet side of the inserting hole; and a spring mounted within the housing; wherein the door is bent inwardly into the inserting hole of the housing. Note the spring is attached to the backside of the door and the housing.

As to claim 5, side walls having two keys for coupling with two notches in the housing. The insert body also includes a faceplate and a flange wherein the door is held between the front flange and the faceplate.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,120

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth.

Roth et al, as discussed above, fails to disclose molding of the spring member with the door.

However, it is well known to use molding techniques to integrate optical parts together. Such integration of the parts efficiently eases the assembly of the optical parts in the optical connector systems. Also, it has been held that integrating of the parts is within the reach of the ordinary skilled artisan.

Art Unit: 2874

From available well know techniques, the ordinary artisan would have found it obvious to integrate the spring and the door of Roth et al by molding for the purpose of advantageously providing ease in assembly of the optical connector.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roth, Abendschein et al, and Shimoji et al disclose different types of optical connectors including a spring and a door.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemang Sanghavi whose telephone number is 703-305-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 703-308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Hemang Sanghavi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2874